

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/437,579	11/09/1999	ALEXANDER G. MACINNIS	36275/SAH/B6 8181		
;	7590 07/11/2002				
John A Wiberg			EXAMINER		
McAndrews Held & Malloy 500 W Madison Street			TUNG, KEE M		
Suite 3400 Chicago, IL 6	50661		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Cincago, IL	00001		2671	OI	
			DATE MAILED: 07/11/2002	24	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Application No.				10				
Examiner Kee M Tung -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 01 July 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may guly be either. (1) a timely filed amendment with places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (fice) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b) The period for reply expires	,	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Examiner -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 01 July 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance: (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal feet) or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)]	Advisory Action	09/437,579	MACINNIS ET AL.					
THE REPLY FILED 01 July 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either; (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Nicco of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)	riation, rision	Examiner	Art Unit					
THE REPLY FILED 01 July 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CPR 1.11 Sin any only be either. (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in Cambridge 1.13 and 1.15 and 1		Kee M Tung	2671					
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may pub, be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection. Whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statistic prepriod for reply eggine later than SIX MONTHs from the mailing date of the final rejection. Whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statistic prepriod for reply eggine later than SIX MONTHs from the Final rejection. Whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statistic prepriod for reply eggine later than SIX MONTHs from the FinAlX REJECTION. See MPEP 706.077. TOR 0770 CH. THIS BOW, WHICH THE RIFER TREPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS for The FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.077. TOR 1070 CH. THIS DOWN HENT THE RIFER TREPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS for the replication, the filed free prepriod and the corresponding amount of the fee. The experipation of the filed free prepriod and the corresponding amount of the fee. The experipation of 10 places, it checked. Any reply recoved by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection. even if timely filed, may reduce any exemple platent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the period for reply originally set in the final direction. For 1704(b). The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) ∑ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) ∑ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (b) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reduc	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
a)	Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued							
b) Since period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final replication, however, with be statutory period for reply expire later han SIX MONTHS from the maining date of the final replection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706:07(9). **Extensions of sime may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.195(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; (2) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 1	PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
event, however, will the statulory period for reply expite later than SIX MONTH'S from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70.6.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.176(a) is calculated from (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply regionally set in the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any example paint frem adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.04(b). 1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2 The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: the amendment to claim 59 would require further consideration and/or search. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 35 usc 103 to claims 23, 24, 20-58 and 61. 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The all affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The all affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Exam								
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.1916 is calculated from: (1) the expiration oldse of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the filoce action, or (2) as est forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any series departed extent time adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: the amendment to claim 59 would require further consideration and/or search. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 35 usc 103 to claims 23, 24, 29-58 and 61. 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. □ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) □ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) □ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) □ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) □ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: *the amendment to claim 59 would require further consideration and/or search. 3. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): *35 usc 103 to claims 23, 24, 29-58 and 61. 4. □ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. □ The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) □ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: *See Continuation Sheet*. 6. □ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. □ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) □ will not be entered or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 23.24,29-58 and 61. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Kee M Tung Primary Examiner First Tunit: 2871	have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any							
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: the amendment to claim 59 would require further consideration and/or search. 3. ☒ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 35 usc 103 to claims 23, 24, 29-58 and 61. 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☒ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 23,24,29-58 and 61. Claim(s) rejected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). Kee M Tung Primary Examiner Kee M Tung Primary Examiner								
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: the amendment to claim 59 would require further consideration and/or search. 3. ☒ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 35 usc 103 to claims 23, 24, 29-58 and 61. 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☒ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 23,24,29-58 and 61. Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,5-22,59 and 62. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). Kee M Tung Primary Examiner Art Unit 2671	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: the amendment to claim 59 would require further consideration and/or search. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 35 usc 103 to claims 23, 24, 29-58 and 61. 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a∏ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 23.24.29-58 and 61. Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,5-22.59 and 62. Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,5-22.59 and 62. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). Kee M Tung Primary Examiner AT Unit: 2871	(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
issues for appeal; and/or (d)								
NOTE: the amendment to claim 59 would require further consideration and/or search. 3.	(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 35 usc 103 to claims 23, 24, 29-58 and 61. 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 23,24,29-58 and 61. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: State of the attached information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). Wee M Tung Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2671 	(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 23,24,29-58 and 61. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). Kee M Tung Primary Examiner At Unit: 2671 								
canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 23,24,29-58 and 61. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,5-22,59 and 62. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). Kee M Tung Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2671	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ti on(s) : <u>35 usc 103 to claims 23, 2</u> 4	<u>4, 29-58 and 61</u> .					
application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 23,24,29-58 and 61. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,5-22,59 and 62. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). Kee M Tung Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2671	4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 23,24,29-58 and 61. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,5-22,59 and 62. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). Kee M Tung Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2671	5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 23,24,29-58 and 61. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,5-22,59 and 62. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). Kee M Tung Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2671	6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
Claim(s) allowed: 23,24,29-58 and 61. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,5-22,59 and 62. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). Kee M Tung Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2671	7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,5-22,59 and 62. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. Other: Kee M Tung Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2671								
Claim(s) rejected: 1.2.5-22.59 and 62. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. Other: Kee M Tung Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2671	Claim(s) allowed: 23,24,29-58 and 61.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. Other: Kee M Tung Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2671	Claim(s) objected to:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. Other: Kee M Tung Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2671	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,5-22,59 and 62</u> .							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. Other: Kee M Tung Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2671	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. Other: Kee M Tung Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2671								
10. Other: Kee M Tung Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2671								
Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2671	_		12m					
C. Delevit and T. J. J. Affi			Primary Examiner					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claims 1-2, 5-22, 59 and 62 still read by the prior art as indicated in the Final rejection..